

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(238)

CWP-15049-2021

Date of Decision : February 15, 2024

Sahil**.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Satish Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner was working on the post of Data Entry Officer on contractual basis and his services have wrongly been terminated though, the petitioner is entitled to continue on the post till the regular appointments are made or till the work of the post exist.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after the services of the petitioner were terminated, another contractual employee has been appointed, which shows that the petitioner has been replaced by another contractual employee.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents, on the other hand, submits that the petitioner was employed through an outsourcing agency and as the petitioner was not attending the duties, his replacement was sought hence,

no grievance can be raised by the petitioner.

4. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the service, there is no allegation that the petitioner did not perform his duties as required.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as of now, the appointments made through outsourcing agency are now being made through the Haryana Rozgar Kaushal Nigam and the petitioner will be satisfied in case his name is brought on the said portal so that he can be adjusted wherever, the services of the petitioner can be best utilized.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the petitioner is interested in bringing his name on the portal of the Haryana Rozgar Kaushal Nigam, he is free to file an appropriate representation to the competent authority under Haryana Rozgar Kaushal Nigam and in case, it is found feasible to bring the name of the petitioner on the portal, the same will be done otherwise due reason will be given for information of the petitioner.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach the competent authority under the Haryana Rozgar Kaushal Nigam for bringing his name on the portal of Haryana Rozgar Kaushal Nigam so as to be adjusted wherever he can be.

8. Ordered accordingly.

February 15, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No