

228

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14794-2019  
Date of Decision:- 24.01.2024

SINGLA TRADERS AND OTHERS ....Petitioners

Vs.

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL  
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- None for the petitioners.  
Mr. Rakshit Gupta, Advocate for respondents- Bank.

\*\*\*\*\*

LISA GILL, J.

1. Prayer in this writ petition is for quashing demand notice dated 12.02.2018 (Annexure P-1) issued by respondent No.3, notice dated 22.03.2018 (Annexure P-3) under Section 13 (2) of Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act (SARFAESI Act), 2002 Act and notice dated 11.09.2018 (Annexure P-4) issued by respondent No.4 under Section 13 (4) of SARFAESI Act. There is further prayer for directing respondents not to auction petitioners' property.

2. Learned counsel for respondent – Bank, on instructions from Mr. Avtar Singh Jaggi, Chief Manager, Bathinda submits that writ petition is rendered infructuous as petitioners' account has been settled and closed.

3. None had appeared on behalf of petitioners on the last date. Today again there is no representation on behalf of petitioners. However, in view of categorical statement made by learned counsel for respondent - Bank, we find no ground for continuation of present proceedings.

4. Writ petition is accordingly disposed of as infructuous. In case, any incorrect fact has been placed before us, petitioners are at liberty to file appropriate application within four weeks.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

**(LISA GILL)**  
**JUDGE**

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)**  
**JUDGE**

**24.01.2024**  
snd

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.  
Whether reportable: Yes/No