



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(217)

CWP No. 12234 of 2024
Date of Decision : 30.05.2024

Narender

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Onkar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, D.A.G., Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that despite the fact that there is one post of Clerk, which is vacant but the petitioner is not being adjusted against the same on the ground that the same should be filled through the Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Limited.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even if the name of the petitioner is to be sent through the said Nigam, the claim of the petitioner should have been kept pending rather than rejecting the same. Especially when, respondents themselves had sent the name of the petitioner for sympathetic consideration to the authorities concerned.



3. Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions from Mr. Uttam Singh, Deputy Commissioner, Karnal, submits that the requisite permissions have already been sought and in case, four weeks time is granted to the respondents, all the efforts will be made to finalize the said recommendation and in case, the ultimate recommendations come in favour of the petitioner, appropriate action will be taken. Otherwise, a fresh order as to whether, the petitioner can be accommodated against the one post of Clerk, which is lying vacant will be passed by giving due reasons therein.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5. Ordered accordingly.

May 30, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No