

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

IOIN-FAO-1801-2006 in
FAO No.1801-2006
Date of Order:28.02.2024

Chander Bhushan

.Appellant

Versus

Hem Raj and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the appellant.
Mr. Abhishek Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Pardeep Goyal, Advocate, for respondent no.3.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. On 13.12.2023, the following order was passed:-

“This appeal has been listed by the office complaining that the learned counsel representing the appellant has not taken steps to bring on record the legal representatives of respondent no.1 and 2 and to file the correct address of respondent no.3.

A perusal of the memorandum of parties shows that respondent no.3 is the New India Assurance Company Limited.

Sh. Sanjeev Kodan, the learned counsel submits that Sh. Vinod Gupta, the learned counsel normally appears on behalf of the New India Assurance Company Limited and he will inform him about the next date of hearing.

On a careful perusal of paragraph 26 of the judgment passed by the Tribunal, it is evident that respondent no.3 was required to deposit the amount of compensation with liberty to recover the same from respondent no.1 and 2.

The learned counsel representing the appellant is not present.

List on 09.02.2024.”

2. Again the learned counsel representing the appellant is not present. He has also failed to take steps to complete the service of notice for the last 18 years.
3. Hence, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.
4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

**February 28, 2024
nt**

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

:YES/NO

:YES/NO