IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

203 **FAO-1647-2006**
Date of Decision: October 16, 2024

Neeta and others **.....Appellant(s)**

Vs.

Shiv Kumar and others **.....Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: None for the appellant(s).

Mr. Punit Jain, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Mr. Sandeep Suri, Advocate for respondent No.6

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

On the last two consecutive dates of hearing before the *Lok Adalat*, no one had put in appearance on behalf of the appellants. Despite notice sent to counsel for appellants through email by the Registry, today also, there is no representation on behalf of the appellants. It seems that the appellants are no more interested in pursuing the matter. This Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.

2. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

3. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

October 16, 2024
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Speaking
Whether reportable Yes / No

Sonia Arora
2024.10.19 10:45
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document