

2024:PHHC:149865



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.210

CRM-M-26317-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 18.11.2024

Sanjiv Kumar Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MS. JUSTICE KIRTI SINGH

Present: Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Kumar Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Dilpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the complainant.

KIRTI SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.46 dated 05.04.2024, under Sections 406, 420 & 120-B IPC and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals (Regulation), Act, 2018 registered at Police Station Bullowal, Hoshiarpur.

2. Vide order dated 22.08.2024, the parties were directed to appear before the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court for an amicable settlement.

3. Report dated 17.09.2024 has been received from the Mediator and as per said report, the parties have settled their dispute by way of an amicable settlement and an agreement has been made that the petitioner shall pay an amount of Rs.8,00,000/- as full & final payment to the complainant.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating

Officer of the concerned Police Station submits that in compliance of order

2024:PHHC:149865



dated 03.10.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

5. Having considered the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed. Order dated 03.10.2024 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute.

6. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting the petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency to investigate into the charges against the petitioner(s).

8. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him or her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

9. The accused-petitioner(s) shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

10. The accused-petitioner(s) shall join the investigation as and when called by the police.

11. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move to this Court for a direction under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused-petitioner(s), in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or

2024:PHHC:149865



inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(KIRTI SINGH)
JUDGE

18.11.2024
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No