

2024:PHHC:039207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(205)

CWP-2056-2009 (O&M)
Date of Decision : March 19, 2024

Jai Bir Singh Malik

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. On the last date of hearing, no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
2. Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the charge-sheet, the order of punishment was passed on 11.04.2011 by which, one increment without cumulative effect was stopped, which punishment was further reduced to the simple warning in an appeal.
3. That being the position, probably the petitioner might not be interested in pursuing the present remedy of challenge to the charge-sheet any further.
4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
5. Any civil miscellaneous application pending if any, also stands disposed of.

March 19, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No