



(111) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26427-2024

Date of decision 29.10.2024

AMIT KUMAR PABBI

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Varinder Kumar,, Advocate
for the petitioners.
Ms.Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
173	09.09.2022	Dakha, Distt. Ludhiana	406, 420, 506 and 120-B of IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act.

1. Petitioner’s counsel seeks quashing of above captioned FIR based on the compromise entered into between him and the complainant which is annexed as **Annexure P-3**.
2. On a query from counsel for the petitioner’s counsel, he admits that they had never brought this compromise to the notice of the investigator. Although this Court cannot be converted an instrument to bring the facts which an accused is supposed to tell the investigator during interrogation, however in the larger interest of justice and fair play, since the present petition has been filed and now the State is aware of the compromise, let the investigator also inquire into this compromise (**Annexure P-3**) as well as averments made in the petition. Petitioner also has liberty to file petition for quashing based on compromise.
3. Given above, present petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

October, 29 2024
raman