



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CWP-12470-2024

Date of decision: 24.05.2024

ANIL KUMAR

...PETITIONER

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Kumar Jund, Senior Panel Counsel,
for the respondents-Union of India.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to decide post-confirmation petition submitted on 11.09.2023 (Annexure P-4).
2. The petitioner was punished by respondents and he preferred post-confirmation petition under Section 117(2) of BSF Act, 1968.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent is not adjudicating said petition. The respondent may be directed to decide his petition.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Karan Kumar Jund, Senior Panel Counsel, who on advance notice is present in Court, accepts notice and waives service.
6. With consent of both the parties, the matter is taken up today itself for final disposal.

CWP-12470-2024

-2-

7. Learned counsel for Union of India, submits that if aforesaid petition of petitioner is pending before Competent Authority, it would be disposed of within 8 weeks from today.
8. In the wake of statement of learned State Counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

24.05.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No