



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26503-2024
Date of decision: 10.07.2024

Gurnam SinghPetitioner.

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. B.S. Mamli, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C,
the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as
under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
197	20.03.2024	181, 191, 192, 193, 200, 417, 420, 120-B IPC	Shahabad, District Kurukshetra

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in
compliance to the order dated 23.05.2024, the petitioner has joined the
investigation.



3. During the course of hearing on 23.05.2024, following order has been passed: -

'It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and there is no involvement of the petitioner in the crime with any criminal intention as per the role alleged in the FIR. He contends that the petitioner being the Nambardar has identified the surety Dinesh while furnishing the surety bonds. He further contends that there is no allegation that he had identified some suspicious persons but the allegations happened to be that the said Dinesh had not disclosed regarding furnishing the surety earlier with which the petitioner has no concern and being Nambardar, he has identified a genuine person. He contends that the petitioner is 72 years old and in another case registered against him in the year 2000, he had already been released on probation. Hence, prays for grant of bail.

3. *Notice of motion.*

4. *On the asking of the Court, Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent and does not dispute the factual matrix of the case. She prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter.*

5. *Adjourned to 10.07.2024.*

6. *Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.*



7. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.'

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sandeep Kumar, informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 23.05.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 23.05.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

221

2024:PHHC:085483



CRM-M-26503-2024

-4-

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10.07.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |