



CWP-12291-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(120)

CWP-12291-2024
Date of Decision : May 23, 2024

Rajender Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others


.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. B.S. Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner has been promoted from the post of Clerk to the Assistant by giving him the benefit of reservation but his actual salary has not been fixed in the cadre of Assistant, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that once the petitioner is working as Assistant, he is entitled for fixation of pay in the pay scale of Assistant with all consequential benefits and the respondents be directed to grant the petitioner the benefit of pay of the post of Assistant from due date along with arrears and interest.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the

**CWP-12291-2024****2**

petitioner in the representation dated 16.06.2022 (Annexure P-5) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 16.06.2022 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of concerned authorities and is still pending consideration, the same will be decided by the authorities concerned within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of copy of this order and in case, after the decision of the representation, any benefit accrues to the petitioner, the same will also be released to him otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

8. Ordered accordingly.

May 23, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No