



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.26825 of 2023
Date of decision: 28th August, 2024

Rajender Soni

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, Asst. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Vineet Sehgal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.60 dated 17.01.2019 (Annexure P-3) under Section 174-A of the IPC registered at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, District Gurugram along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom including proclamation dated 07.02.2017 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the private respondent submits that subsequent to registration of the FIR in question, with the intervention of well-wishers and respectables, the parties had ironed out their differences and arrived at an amicable settlement, as a result of which the complainant had withdrawn the original complaint. In support, learned counsel has placed on record a copy of the order dated 14.05.2022 passed by National Lok Adalat-cum-JMFC/Gurugram. He submits that in the circumstances, respondent No.2



has no objection in case the FIR (Annexure P-3) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the respondent and perused the relevant material on record.

4. In the light of submissions made by learned counsel representing the respondent together with the fact that since the original complaint already stands withdrawn, this Court deems it appropriate to quash the criminal proceedings in the instant case. Accordingly, the petition is allowed, and the FIR (Annexure P-3) and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

August 28, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No