

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-26252-2024

Date of Decision:-29.07.2024

Ramjan.

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Azad Khan, Advocate for the Complainant.


JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in the petition under Section 438 Cr.PC is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0381 dated 15.09.2023 under Sections 323, 324, 506, 34 IPC registered at P.S. Sadar, Tauru, District Nuh (Mewat).

On 23.05.2024 the following order was passed:-

“ *The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case registered against him vide FIR No.0381 dated 15.09.2023 under Sections 323, 324, 506 and 34 IPC at Police Station Sadar Tauru, District Nuh (Mewat).*

The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner had been granted interim anticipatory bail but failed to join the investigation on account of certain factors. Be that as it may, he was ready and willing to join the proceedings once again.



Notice of motion for 25.07.2024.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.:-

(i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when

required;

(ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender his passport, if any.

Meanwhile, the State is directed to file an affidavit/reply as to the exact role of the petitioner and his co-accused alongwith the details of pending FIRs, if any, on or before the next date of hearing.”

Thereafter on 25.07.2024 the following order was passed:-

“ A reply dated 23.07.2024 filed on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record.

The petitioner is directed to join the investigation tomorrow i.e. 26.07.2024 at 10.00 a.m. at Police Station Sadar Tauru.

Adjourned to 29.07.2024.

It is made clear that in case the petitioner does not join the investigation on 26.07.2024, the present petition shall be heard and decided on merits.



Interim order to continue till the next date of hearing only.”

Despite the passing of the aforementioned orders, the petitioner has not joined investigation.

In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

July 29, 2024
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>