



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

326

CRM-M-26369-2024

Date of decision: August 22nd, 2024

Jagjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Armaan Gagneja, Advocate
for the petitioners (through V.C.).

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Ms. Neha Randhawa, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.82 dated 20.05.2023 under Sections 353/186/323/506 of the IPC (Section 323 IPC altered to 332 IPC) registered at Police Station City, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 23.05.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 08.07.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from the learned trial Court, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected

between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the attested copies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned trial Court, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

August 22nd, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No