

S. No.260

2024:PHHC:010586

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26797 of 2023

Date of Decision:25.01.2024

Mohammad Vajid

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Madhur Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Short affidavit of Shri Sukhwinder Singh Brar, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (City), Moga, District Moga has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, para No.3 of which is relevant and the same reads as under:-

“That in compliance of said order it is submitted that the enquiry of the representation moved by the petitioner was conducted by the deponent. During enquiry ASI Daljit Singh was not permitted to influence the enquiry or witnesses. The deponent had conducted the enquiry in accordance with law and without any influence. During enquiry it was found that petitioner Mohammad Wazid gave counter application against respondent No.4 Jaspreet Singh, as respondent No.4 Jaspreet Singh had already moved an application against the petitioner. During enquiry no fact came on file that respondent No.4 Jaspreet Singh had played a fraud with petitioner Mohammad Wazid or threatened him. It was further found that there is monetary dispute between petitioner and respondent No.4. During enquiry all the allegations levelled by petitioner against ASI Daljit Singh were found to be false. As there is monetary dispute between petitioner and respondent No.4, so petitioner was directed to approach the Civil Court against the respondent no.4. After completion of enquiry

deponent recommended to consign the application moved by the petitioner. Copy of enquiry report is attached herewith as Annexure R-1/T.”

In view of the afore-said report, no direction is required to be issued in this case.

Dismissed.

January 25, 2024
renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No