



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

221-2

FAO-1788-2007
Date of Decision: September 19, 2024

ManishaAppellant

Vs.

Maya Devi and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: None for the appellant

Mr. D.P. Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.5-Insurance Company

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

On the last date of hearing no one had put in appearance on behalf of the appellants and it has been observed that in the connected case the counsel for the appellant had been seeking adjournments for the last so many dates to file an application for impleadment of LR's of deceased-appellant No.1 in FAO-2722-2007. However, in the interest of justice, one last opportunity was granted to do the needful vide order dated 25.07.2024, wherein it was specifically mentioned that if by the next date of hearing, needful is not done, the appeal would be dismissed.

2. Today again, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant in the instant appeal. It seems that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the matter. This Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.

3. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

September 19, 2024
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Speaking
Yes / No