

104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25911-2024

Date of decision: 21.05.2024

Charanjit Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to the Arresting Officer to release the petitioner on bail forthwith in the event of his arrest in case bearing FIR No.41 dated 23.04.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 306/120-B of IPC registered at Police Station Dugri, District Ludhiana.

Mr. Damanjit Singh Sandhu, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant and files his *vakalatnama* in the Court today which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the FIR (*supra*) and there is no evidence to remotely connect the petitioner with the husband of the deceased. The petitioner was never having any relationship with the husband of the deceased. There is no suicide note to make the petitioner liable for the offence under Section 306 of IPC. It is further contended that only bald allegations

with regard to the beating of the deceased on 21.04.2024 are there. No complaint was made to the local police with regard to the incident and there is no medico legal report to corroborate the allegations contained in the FIR (*supra*).

Per contra, the learned State counsel assisted by Mr. Damanjit Singh Sandhu, Advocate, on instructions from ASI Jagtar Singh, submits that petitioner is the root cause who compelled the deceased to commit suicide and the proximity between the incident alleged to have taken place on 21.04.2024 and the date of death, clearly proves the instigation within the meaning of Section 107 of IPC and the petitioner has compelled a married lady to commit suicide as she was having illicit relations with the husband of the deceased.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusing the record of the case, this Court finds no ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner and the present petition stand dismissed.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

21.05.2024
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No