



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.3255 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.05.2024

Navrup Sidhu alias Navroop Sidhu
through her attorney
...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Zora Singh & others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Narinder Singh Dadwal, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-plaintiff has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of direction to learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Jagraon (for short ‘the trial Court’), to decide *Civil Suit No.299 of 2018* titled as ‘*Navroop Sidhu vs. Zora Singh etc.*’ within some stipulated period, while averring that she is a Non-Resident Indian and is residing in UK and the above-referred Suit was filed by her in May, 2018 and the same has not yet been decided.

2. It is pertinent to mention here that at the time of assisting the Court at the preliminary stage in the present revision-petition, learned counsel for the petitioner has restricted his prayer to the issuance of the direction to the trial Court to decide the afore-mentioned Suit at the earliest possible.



3. Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner and also the fact that the afore-said Suit is pending adjudication for the last six years and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits thereof, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with direction to the trial Court concerned to conclude the proceedings in the Civil Suit under reference and to decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

May 27, 2024
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No