



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(234)

CWP No. 17422 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 26.11.2024

Sukhvinder Singh Shan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Gagan Pradeep S. Bal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though notice of motion has been issued in the present petition, the petitioner intends to approach the respondents for the redressal of his grievance by filing appropriate representation and the respondents be directed to decide the same in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any representation is received at the hands of the petitioner, the same will be decided in accordance with law by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order, which will be conveyed to the petitioner.



Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file representation with the authorities concerned.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

November 26, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No