

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

283

CRM-M No.26565 of 2023
Date of decision: 01.02.2024

PRATISH KUMAR
Versus
STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER
.... Petitioner
.... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : None for the petitioner.

Ms. Nidhi Garg, A.A.G., Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner despite the fact that the matter has been passed over twice.

Record reveals that despite being given last opportunity to the petitioner to record his statement before the trial Court regarding the genuineness of the compromise stated to have been arrived at between the parties, the petitioner did not appear there and on the last date of hearing, adjournment had been requested by his counsel for seeking instructions from him in this regard.

It appears that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the present petition any more. Hence, the same is dismissed for want of prosecution.

01.02.2024
Jyoti-IV
(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No