

CWP-12237-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(118)

CWP-12237-2024

Date of decision: - 23.05.2024

Jiyanshi Gupta

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Naman Gupta, Advocate
for Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ or direction or order in the nature of mandamus whereby directing the respondents No.1 to 4 to comply with the notification/list No.1/15-2017ACD(4) dated 29.03.2024 (Annexure P-10) issued by Directorate School Education to the petitioner thereby admitting the petitioner in Class first.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner had given a letter dated 23.04.2024 (Annexure P-12) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the respondent No.3 considers the same, in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioner.

CWP-12237-2024

-2-

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents-State has submitted that the respondent No.3 would consider the said letter dated 23.04.2024 (Annexure P-12), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of three weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to the respondent No.3 to consider the letter dated 23.04.2024 (Annexure P-12) given by the petitioner within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case, the respondent No.3 is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible. In case, the respondent No.3 is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of three weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the respondent No.3 would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

May 23, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No