

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117

CRWP-4733-2024
Date of decision: 15.07.2024

GURWINDER SINGH AND ANOTHERPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...Respondents


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. S.S. Sohi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, read with Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer is made for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents No.4 and 5, and to restrain respondents No.4 and 5, from harassing, or interfering in the peaceful life of the present petitioners.
2. Status report by way of affidavit dated 12.07.2024 of Sh. Gurpartap Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (Rural), Patiala has been filed on behalf of respondent-State. The same is taken on record. Copy of the same has been supplied to the opposite counsel.
3. A perusal of the reply (supra), reveals that an open and discreet inquiry was conducted by the prosecution in the instant matter, and it was found that there is no threat perception to the present petitioners. A perusal of the reply further reveals that it is a case of version and cross-version, and investigation in both the matters are underway.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the prosecution has added Section 325 IPC, in the instant FIR, whereas it should be Section 326-B IPC.

5. Be that as it may be, since there is no threat perception to the petitioners, the instant petition is **dismissed**, however, in case the petitioners have any grievance with regard to the non-invoking of the appropriate provision of the penal code, they are at liberty to avail the alternate remedy(ies), as available to the petitioners in accordance with law.

15.07.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No