



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1. CWP-12550-2014(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 28.05.2024

Smt. Parmeshwari and anotherPetitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

2. CWP-15588-2010(O&M)

Santosh Bala and othersPetitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present Mr.Sukhdev Raj Kamboj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.Harish Nain, Asstt.AG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J (ORAL)

CM-9207-CWP-2024 in CWP-12550-2014 and
CM-9141-CWP-2024 in CWP-15588-2010

For the reasons mentioned in the application, Cms are allowed, as
prayed for.

With the consent of counsel for the parties, the both main cases are
taken on Board today itself.

CWP-12550-2014 and CWP-15588-2010

1. By this common order, two writ petitions, the details of which have been
given in the heading, are being decided, as all these petitions involve the same
question of law on similar facts.



2. In the present petitions, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that petitioners though adjusted as Supervisors but are not being paid the same pay scale as being paid to the other similarly situated Supervisors, which act on the part of the respondents is arbitrary and illegal.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the question raised in the present petition has already been decided by this Court while passing order in CWP No.18041 of 2020 titled as **Sudesh Malik v. The State of Haryana and another**, decided on 01.04.2024 and keeping in view the settled principle of law, the petitioners are also entitled for the benefits as extended to the petitioners in **Sudesh Malik's case (supra)**.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioners in the representations dated 24.05.2004, 10.04.2009, 14.02.2010 and 01.05.2013 (Annexures P-2 to P5) respectively in CWP-12550-2014 and representation dated 28.01.2009 (Annexure P-3) in CWP-15588-2010 which are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representations by passing an appropriate speaking order.

5. Notice of motion.

6. Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

7. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, the representations dated 24.05.2004, 10.04.2009, 14.02.2010 and 01.05.2013 (Annexures P-2 to P5) respectively in CWP-12550-2014 and representation dated 28.01.2009 (Annexure P-3) in CWP-15588-2010 have been received in the office of concerned authorities and are still pending consideration, the same will be decided by the authorities concerned within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of copy of this

order and in case, after the decision of the representations, any benefit accrues to the



petitioners, the same will also be released to them otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

9. Ordered accordingly.

10. A photocopy of the order be placed on the file of other connected writ petition.

28.05.2024
mamta

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No