



114

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-12345-2024
Date of decision: 24.05.2024

M/s Rajveer Bus Service (Regd.)

...Petitioner

Versus

The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. TPS Walia, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to transfer the Registration Certificate of bus bearing No.PB09-N-6701 from M/s Falahi Sahib Bus Service to M/s Rajveer Bus Service Reg. by updating the tax and release the stage carriage permit for plying one return trip daily from Ludhiana to Tarn Taran Via Hambran, Sidhwan Bet, Dharamkot, Kot Ise Khan, Makhu, Harike Pattan route granted to the petitioner vide order dated 24.12.2021 (Annexure P-3).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given legal notice dated 18.03.2024 (Annexure P-14) and he would be



satisfied at this stage, in case, the said respondent No.2 is directed to consider the said legal notice dated 18.03.2024 (Annexure P-14) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that respondent No.2 would consider the said legal notice dated 18.03.2024 (Annexure P-14), in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider legal notice dated 18.03.2024 (Annexure P-14), in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, respondent No.2 would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, respondent No.2 is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious, then, a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.2 would consider the case of the petitioner independently, in accordance with law.

24.05.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No