



**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25793-2024

Date of Decision: May 28, 2024

Vinod

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Gurdarshan Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

By way of this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.163 dated 14.06.2023, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 472, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Bhondsi, District Gurugram.

2. This is the second petition filed by the petitioner for this purpose. The earlier petition bearing No.CRM-M-47012-2023 was dismissed on merits by this Court vide a detailed order dated 17.10.2023 (Annexure P-7) after observing the role of the petitioner to the effect that he alongwith Karam Singh @ Pappu (deceased) had fraudulently created the forged Board Resolution of Vasudha Realcon Pvt. Ltd. Company, authorizing one Ravi Joshi to execute the sale deed in respect of property of the said company and thereafter it is the petitioner, who on the basis of the forged Board Resolution was instrumental in execution of the sale deed, initially in favour of one Chanchal, then Monika and then complainant and Nainika Devi. It was also observed that custodial interrogation of the petitioner was required for unearthing the entire truth.

3. This second petition is filed by pleading that matter has been settled amongst the parties.

4. This Court vide order dated 21.05.2024 asked the respondent-State to file reply as to how it allowed the petitioner to remain free, despite dismissal of his earlier petition and allowed him to approach the complainant to enter into the compromise.

5. In the status report filed today, it is stated that raids have been conducted time and again to arrest the petitioner, but he is evading the arrest.

6. Having noticed the detailed facts and circumstances in the order dated 17.10.2023 (Annexure P-7) passed by this Court and the conduct of the petitioner, who is evading his arrest to avoid the investigation, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present second bail petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

May 28, 2024
sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No