



CRM-M-25814-2024

-1-

(116)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M-25814-2024

Date of Decision:-23.05.2024

BABBU RAM & OTHERS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. S.K. Liberhan, Advocate
for the Petitioner.

Ms. Ramta K Chaudhary, DAG, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for the issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to conduct a fair and impartial investigation while considering the representation dated 11.12.2023 (Annexure P-4) in connection with cross-case i.e. G.D. No.24 dated 07.11.2021 (Annexure P-2) registered under Sections 323, 427, 341, 148, 149, 506 IPC at Police Station Salem Tabri, District Ludhiana which has been recorded in FIR No.306 dated 07.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 341, 506, 148, 149 IPC, 1860 at Police Station Salem Tabri, District Ludhiana.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the aforementioned FIR



CRM-M-25814-2024

-2-

case bearing G.D. No.24 dated 07.11.2021 (Annexure P-4) was registered in the said FIR. Initially, the petitioners who are the accused in the cross-case filed a petition for quashing of the FIR which was argued and came to be withdrawn as disputed questions of fact had arisen. Thereafter, an identical petition as the present one was filed seeking issuance of directions for proper investigation and considering the representation dated 11.12.2023. The said petition was argued at length and when this Court had observed that the petitioners could avail their remedies in accordance with law such as moving an application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. for supervision of investigation as envisaged in the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Sakiri Vasu Versus State of U.P. and others, 2008(1) R.C.R. (Criminal) 392**, the counsel withdrew the petition vide order dated 21.12.2023 (Annexure P-5).

3. Now the instant second petition on the same cause of action has been filed.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. A perusal of the facts as enumerated hereinabove would reveal that the petitioners are repeatedly filing petitions one after the other. The first petition for quashing was withdrawn on 24.11.2023 (Annexure P-3). Thereafter, the second petition seeking issuance of directions was argued and withdrawn on 21.12.2023 (Annexure P-5). This instant third petition has been filed without any change in circumstances. I may reiterate here that the petitioners have their remedies in accordance with law in terms of the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Sakiri Vasu**



CRM-M-25814-2024

-3-

(supra).

6. In view of the above, I find no merit in the present petition.
Therefore, the same stands dismissed.

7. However, for filing repeated petitions, the petitioners are directed to deposit a cost of Rs.10,000/- with the concerned District Legal Services Authority, Ludhiana.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

May 23, 2024
jitesh

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>