

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.26343 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 5th February, 2024

Satpal Singh @ Satta Cheema

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Ms. Taranjit K. Hundal & Ms. Harnaaz K. Hundal,
Advocates for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Luthra, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

CRM No.4917 of 2024

The instant application has been filed for placing on record
the amended memo of parties in compliance of the order dated
08.01.2024.

The application is allowed subject to all just exceptions and
the amended memo of parties is taken on record.

Ms. Harshita Loomba, Advocate puts in appearance and
files her power of attorney on behalf of respondent No.2.

CRM-M No.26343 of 2023

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under
Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.27 dated 03.03.2022 under

Sections 160, 307, 148, 149, 120-B of the IPC and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Lohian, District Jalandhar (Rural).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that a false and fabricated case has been planted upon the petitioner on the basis of a mere suspicion, however subsequently, the parties had ironed out their differences and arrived at an amicable settlement.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant/respondent No.2 has not disputed the factum of the parties having amicably settled all the disputes and ironed out their differences. She submits that in view of the parties having arrived at an amicable settlement, she would have no objection in case the petitioner is granted the concession of bail.

4. Learned State counsel has filed short reply by way of affidavit of Narinder Singh Aujla, PPS, DSP Sub-Division Shahkot, Jalandhar (Rural) today in the Court, which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions and a copy thereof supplied to learned counsel opposite.

5. On a pointed query put to the learned State counsel as to what was the stage of trial, he, on instructions, has submitted that after the petitioner was arrested on 09.03.2022, challan was presented on 20.05.2022 which was followed by supplementary challan dated 20.08.2022, however, till date charges have not yet been framed.

Learned State counsel, however, submits that recovery of the firearm was yet to be effected from the petitioner after his arrest on 09.03.2022.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the relevant material on record.

7. As conceded by the learned counsel for the complainant/respondent No.2, the parties have arrived at an amicable settlement. In the facts and circumstances as enumerated hereinabove, this Court thus deems it fit to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner. The petition as such is allowed and the petitioner is admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

February 5, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No