



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

227

CWP No.14404 of 2021
Date of decision: 29.08.2024

Veena Rani, Advocate

.....Petitioner

Versus

The Distt and Sessions Judge, Rohtak and Ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Sandeep Parkash Chahar, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana for respondent Nos.2 & 4.

None for respondent No.3.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the controversy in the present case is already covered by the order dated 03.03.2021 (Annexure P-9) passed by this Court in **CWP No.6089 of 2019, titled Deepak Sharma Advocate vs. District Judge, Rohtak & Ors.**

The stand of learned counsel for the petitioner stands uncontroverted by learned State counsel.

In the light of aforesaid, present petition is allowed, in terms of the order dated 03.03.2021 passed by this Court in **CWP No.6089 of 2019, titled Deepak Sharma Advocate vs. District Judge, Rohtak & Ors.**

August 29, 2024
manoj

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No