



CWP-12218-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(116)

CWP-12218-2024

Date of decision: - 23.05.2024

Gurmukh Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Ankit Midha, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. TPS Walia, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 and Article 300-A of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant proficiency step-up increments on completion of 4 years, 9 years and 14 years of actual service and thereafter, fix the pay along with all the consequential benefits as per the settled law and pay the arrears of salary and pension alongwith interest @ 12% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner had given a representation dated 16.04.2024 (Annexure P-18) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-State considers the same, in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are

CWP-12218-2024 **-2-**

found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents-State has submitted that the competent authority of respondent No.1-State would consider the said representation dated 16.04.2024 (Annexure P-18), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of two months from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1-State to consider the representation dated 16.04.2024 (Annexure P-18) filed by the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-State is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible. In case, the competent authority of respondent No.1-State is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of two months.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1-State would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

May 23, 2024 **(VIKAS BAHL)**
naresh.k **JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No