

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

CWP-13401-2011 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.01.2024

Bajinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

VERSUS

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anand Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent No.5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present petition is to the resolution dated 02.02.2011 (Annexure P-5) whereby the land earlier marked for allotment of plots under the Scheme has been unmarked and there has been a change of the site plan and the land was offered.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State contends that the present petition does not survive any further since the SDO(C), Ballabgarh, had intimated vide his office memo dated 24.06.2014 that out of 22 petitioners in the present petition, only 14 petitioners were found eligible for the plot of 100 sq. yards under Mahatma Gandhi Gramin Basti Yojna. Gift deeds had issued to 13 persons after determining their eligibility vide

resolution No.1 dated 08.08.2011 by the office of Tehsildar, Ballabhgarh while petitioner No.4-Gurudutt who was found to be eligible refused for registration of the plot in his favour. It is thus contended that the claims of the petitioners do not survive any further. He contends that so far as the remaining 8 petitioners are concerned they never challenged the report or the decision whereby they were found to be ineligible. It is further contended that even the counter affidavit was filed way back in the year 27.08.2014, however, no counter to that has been filed.

Since the claims of the petitioners stand settled, no further order are required to be passed in the present writ petition. The petitioners shall, however, be at liberty to take recourse to their remedies viz a viz 8 petitioners whose claims were not found to be eligible to fulfill the eligibility requirements. The petition is accordingly **disposed of** as having been rendered infructuous.

The civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

20.01.2024

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No