



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(121)

CWP No. 11801 of 2024
Date of Decision : 20.05.2024

Devender Chauhan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that though he had applied as a Dependent of Ex-servicemen in pursuance to the Advertisement No. 1/2023 dated 30.05.2023 (Annexure P-1) but his result has been declared in the category of Schedule Caste only and not in the category of DESM (SC).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents are under obligation to rectify the said mistake and treat the petitioner under the category of DESM (SC) for the post in question and revise the result of the petitioner by granting him the benefit of reservation.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation 01.02.2024 (Annexure P-8) but the same is still pending consideration with the



respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation 01.02.2024 (Annexure P-8) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

May 20, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No