



CWP-11717-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-11717-2024

Date of decision : 17.05.2024

Kanhiya Aaditya

... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab University Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Munish Dev Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the order dated 16.04.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent no.3.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the mother of the petitioner had given an application / representation dated 13.05.2024 (Annexure P-2) to respondent no.1 and has submitted that at this stage, would be satisfied in case the said application/representation is considered by the competent authority of respondent no.1 in a time bound manner. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no grievance against the University or its teachers and has utmost respect for them and has stated in the application /representation dated 13.05.2024 that only prayer is for grant of one opportunity to permit the petitioner to resume his studies. It is



CWP-11717-2024

2

further submitted that the petitioner has been a meritorious student throughout and had passed his 10th class with 92% marks. It is stated that in case the order dated 16.04.2024 is withdrawn or is modified, the petitioner undertakes that he would never commit any such act in future to even remotely harm the reputation of the University.

3. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.1 to consider the application / representation dated 13.05.2024 (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 7 days from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the pleas of the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the pleas of the petitioner are not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of 7 days from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider and decide the application/representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 17, 2024
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No