

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5042-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision:21.03.2024

Raj Kumar and Anr. ...Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate with
Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. J.S Sohal, Advocate for
Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Advocate
for respondents No.9 and 10.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 to 4 to ensure the protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners and other family members, as they apprehended imminent threat at the hands of respondents No.5 to 12.
2. Learned State counsel submits that in the present case, a representation was received with regard to the same relief, from the petitioners and an enquiry with regard to the allegations has been conducted in the matter. Learned State counsel further submits that on enquiry, it was found that no evidence was available with regard to the allegations levelled by the petitioners. Learned State counsel next submits that in case, the

petitioners are threatened by any other person, or by private respondents, the petitioners are at liberty to make a representation in this regard before the competent authority and the appropriate and necessary action shall be taken in accordance with law.

3. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioners does not want to press the present petition, at this stage.

4. Dismissed as not pressed, at this stage.

5. Pending application(s), if any, stand(s), disposed off, accordingly.

21.03.2024
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No