



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

289

CRM-M-30104-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.07.2024

AMARJOT SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. D.B. Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN , J.

1. Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of directions to official respondents No.1 to 3 to ensure that the petitioner may not be harassed by the respondent No.4 at the instance of private respondents No.5 and 6.
2. Reply by way of an affidavit of Manvir Singh Bajwa (PPS), Assistant Commissioner of Police, HQ-cum-PBI-CAW & Children, Jalandhar filed on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record.
3. Referring to para No.6 of the preliminary submissions of the said reply, learned State counsel has informed that the petitioner is not required in the present case. Para No.6 of the said reply reads as under:

‘That daughter of petitioner was residing in building no. 13184 where co-accused Isha Sharma was also residing and as per the allegations of the complainant daughter of petitioner has also harassed the complainant as such petitioner was called by

investigating officer to join investigation and after recording the statement of petitioner he was not called by neither investigating officer nor by any other Police officer as petitioner is not required in the present case thus present petition is liable to be dismissed.'

4. Though there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. However, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the present petition has rendered infructuous. As such stands disposed of.
5. However, learned State counsel shall remain bound by the submissions given in the Court that the petitioner is not required in the present case.
6. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

22.07.2024
P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No