



**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-25919 of 2024
Date of Decision: 27.05.2024**

Vikram Singh ...Petitioner
vs.
State of Haryana ...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

**Present : Mr. Sandeep K. Tada, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.0189 dated 26.06.2021 registered under Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 and Section 34, 379-B of IPC, 1860, at Police Station Bawal, District Rewari.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has not been specifically named in the FIR nor his involvement can be inferred in the present case. He further contends that during the course of investigation, one of his co-accused had suffered a disclosure statement and the petitioner was nominated as an accused on the basis of the said disclosure statement. Learned counsel further contends that in the present case, a motorcycle and Rs.3,000/- were allegedly recovered from the present petitioner and the investigation is almost complete in the present case. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 30.04.2024 and his further custody will not serve



any meaningful purpose.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that a motorcycle and Rs.3,000/- were recovered from the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 30.04.2024 and is continuing in custody since then. Further his co-accused Gurdeep Singh has already been granted the concession of bail in the present case and even the petitioner cannot be kept confined in jail for an indefinite period. Even otherwise, recoveries have already been effected from the present petitioner and the investigation is complete in all aspects. Thus, the conclusion of the trial may take quite a long time and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

27.05.2024.
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No