

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

(223-1)

CWP-1056-2012

Date of decision: - 14.02.2024

Subash Chander

....Petitioner

Versus

State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Rohit Kapoor, Advocate, for respondents No.3 to 9.

Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate for respondents No.12.

\*\*\*\*

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ especially in the nature of certiorari or any other suitable writ, order or direction quashing the impugned order dated 20.10.2011 (Annexure P-4) passed by respondent No.1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has died and the LR(s) of the petitioner have not approached his office, thus, the present writ petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, but liberty be granted to the LR(s) of the petitioner to revive the present writ petition in case any cause survives with the LR(s) of the petitioner.

3. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, however, liberty is granted to the LR(s) of the petitioner to move an application in case any cause survives.

( VIKAS BAHL )  
JUDGE

February 14, 2024

naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No