



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25117-2024  
Date of decision: 31.05.2024

SHAKTI SINGH ....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA ...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Rishabh Chaudhary, Advocate for  
Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate for the petitioner.  
  
Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. Status report dated 30.05.2024, filed in the form of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police Samalkha, Panipat, on behalf of State-respondent, is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. By way of present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

| FIR No. | Dated      | Section                                         | Police Station  |
|---------|------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 211     | 07.12.2023 | 148, 149, 307, 323, 325, 341 and 506 of the IPC | Bapoli, Panipat |

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 21.05.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 21.05.2024.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Krishan Lal intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is



neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial investigation.

5. During the course of hearing on 21.05.2024, following order was passed:

*“2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and is not connected with the alleged occurrence in any manner. He contends that neither the name of the petitioner is mentioned in the FIR nor any specific overt act is attributed to him but has been nominated on the basis of alleged disclosure statement of the co-accused, namely Sanspal (Sansa), who has since been arrested and is in custody. He contends that even as per the alleged disclosure statement, the role attributed to the petitioner is that he was present at the place of occurrence without any specific overt act attributed to him. He contends that the petitioner is having 05 more criminal cases registered against him but he is on bail therein. He further contends that the petitioner is ready to join investigation.*

*3. Notice of motion, returnable for 31.05.2024.*

*4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent and prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter.*

*5. Mr. Anas Ahmed, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant to assist learned State Counsel in the matter and filed his vakalatnama. The same is taken on record.*

*6. Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.*

*7. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”*

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation

**CRM-M-25117-2024**

3

consequent to the order dated 21.05.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 21.05.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(SANJIV BERRY)**  
**JUDGE**

**31.05.2024***S. Sharma (syr)*

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |