



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-25348-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 25.11.2024

MANDEEP SINGH

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Narinder S. Lukcy, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 CrPC
petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
53	14.07.2023	419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC; 82 of Registration Act,	Daba, District Ludhiana

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 31.07.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such interim bail granted to the petitioner may be confirmed.

3. Learned State counsel on the basis of *pairvi* report has intimated that the petitioner has joined investigation and is no more required for any



custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the petition on the ground of gravity of offence involved and submits that the petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

5. Heard.

6. During the course of hearing on 31.07.2024, the following order was passed:-

“ Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner had been having financial dealings with the complainant as is evident from copy of the bank account statement of the petitioner (Annexure P-4). He submits that the alleged sale deed dated 15.10.2018 has already been cancelled by the competent authority vide order dated 27.01.2022 and the co-accused alleged to have been arrested has already been granted concession of bail vide order Annexure P-3.

2. This fact is not controverted by learned State counsel qua the grant of bail to the co-accused vide order Annexure P-3 and cancellation of the sale deed.

3. List on 10.09.2024.

4. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within ten days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. Heshall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

7. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 31.07.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 31.07.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of



written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

8. The petition stands allowed.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

25.11.2024
S.Sharma(syr)

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |