

[213] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-28163-2022

Date of Decision : 08.01.2024

Shubham

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

Coram : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present : Mr. Balbir Singh Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] The petitioner is seeking regular bail by way of petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.193 dated 05.12.2019 under Sections 307, 148, 149 and 120-B of IPC, 1860 and Sections 25 & 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Cantonment, District Amritsar.

[2] It is informed by the learned State Counsel that in compliance of the order dated 08.11.2023 passed by this Court, the statement of injured-complainant/Amit Kumar has already been recorded.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner has even placed on record copy of the statement.

[4] Learned State Counsel informs that out of 23 witnesses cited by the prosecution, only 02 witnesses have been examined so far including the complainant.

[5] Custody certificate placed on record reveals that the petitioner is in custody in the present case for the last 03 years, 07 months and 02 days, though he is involved in 05 more cases as per the details given in the custody certificate.

[6] Having regard to the long incarceration in custody of the petitioner in the present case and the fact that trial is not likely to be concluded at early date having regard to the long list of witnesses, and the fact that the statement of injured has already been recorded, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, the petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

08.01.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No