

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(1) CWP-13961-2021

NEENA AND ANR Petitioners
versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

(2) CWP-17234-2021

SANDEEP AND ANR Petitioners
versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

(3) CWP-22054-2021 (O&M)

RAMDUTT AND ORS Petitioners
versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

(4) CWP-27042-2021

RAHUL Petitioner
versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Pankaj Midda, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners are working in the Health Department under the outsourcing policy, on contract basis and the apprehension of the petitioners is that they will be replaced by another set of ad-hoc employees or their services will be terminated despite the fact that they work for the post in question exists.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that while issuing the notice of motion, the petitioners have been granted the benefit of interim order under which the petitioners are continuing in service.
3. The learned State counsel on instructions from Mr. Naresh Kumar, Assistant, O/o Civil Surgeon, Health Department, Jind submits that keeping in view of the fact that after the filing of the petition, there is a change with regard to the hiring of employees under the outsourcing policy and now all the contracted employees are being hired through the *Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam* and even the petitioners have been transferred to the *Haryana Kaushal Rozgar Nigam* and they are continuing and as of now, there is no intention of the Department to terminate the services of the petitioners and the petitioners will be allowed to continue till the work of the posts in question exists coupled with the fact that the conduct of the petitioners will remain satisfactory.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
5. Ordered accordingly.
6. Photocopy of this order be placed in the files of the connected cases.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

04.04.2024
Anu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No