



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 25449 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.05.2024

Amandeep

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar Ganga, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, by way of present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., seeks grant of regular bail pending trial in case bearing FIR No.515, dated 16.12.2021, under Sections 379-A, 392, 397, 398, 411, 201 & 34 of IPC and Sections 25/27 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sadar Sirsa, District Sirsa, wherein he has been implicated on the basis of disclosure statement made by co-accused-Jaswant Singh of having looted a motorcycle bearing Regn. No. PB-51B-9442 on the gun point.

[2] Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner, while referring to the antecedents of the petitioner, who is stated to be involved in four more cases of similar nature.

[3] I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper-book. I find substance in the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

[4] In the present case, investigation stands concluded with the filing of challan, followed by framing of charges and the petitioner is behind the bars since 27.01.2022, i.e. for the past about two years and five months, however, so far, nine of the prosecution witnesses have been examined, thus, the conclusion of trial may take some time. Moreover, co-accused-Jaswant Singh, at whose disclosure statement the petitioner was implicated, has already been granted the concession of regular bail vide order dated 06.09.2022 (Annexure p-5) passed by learned Sessions Judge, Sirsa. In view thereof, this Court does not find justification to extend the incarceration of the petitioner any further.

[5] In view of the above, but without commenting upon merits of the present petition, the same is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds / surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

[6] It is made clear that this order may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

May 24, 2024

'dk kamra'

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>