



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11970-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 21.05.2024

Prabhjeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

UT Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Maninder Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Addl. Standing Counsel, and
Ms. Shubreet Kaur, Jr. Panel Counsel,
for the respondent(s)-UT, Chandigarh.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has prayed for the following substantive relief:

**“Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the
Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature
of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2-3 to
carry out the mutation of house No.2824, Sector 22-C,
Chandigarh in the names of petitioner & his brother
Jasjeet Singh Khahra in view of the application dated
18.04.2023 Annexure P-3 submitted in pursuance to the
judgment & decree dated 22.09.2022 Annexure P-1 qua
which registered deed has already been executed on
11.04.2023 vide Annexure P-2.**

AND

**And for directing the respondent No.2 & 3 to carry out
the mutation of House No.2824, Sector 22-C, Chandigarh
in time bound manner, which is being lingered on by
them since 18.04.2023.”**



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by virtue of judgment and decree dated 22.09.2022 (P-1), the petitioner and his brother Jasjeet Singh Khahra have been declared to be the owners of property bearing No.2824, Sector 22-C, Chandigarh. He submits that the said decree has since been registered in the office of the Registrar on 11.04.2023. Whereafter, the petitioner has moved the necessary application for transfer of the property in question on 18.04.2023 along with the requisite charges. But, to date the property has not been mutated in terms of the decree mentioned-above.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, learned Additional Standing Counsel, UT, Chandigarh is present in Court on behalf of the respondents.

At the outset, he on instructions submits that the matter is already under active consideration of the competent authority. And the necessary orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed on the application of the petitioner at the earliest.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of in the wake of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents. However, he submits the authorities be directed to pass the necessary orders within a specified time.

To which, learned Additional Standing counsel submits that the required orders shall be passed within a period of four weeks from today. And the petitioner shall be communicated in this regard.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition stands disposed of.



This Court is sanguine that the competent authority shall consider the matter in the right earnest, and pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof, within the time indicated by learned Additional Standing counsel.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

21.05.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO