

2024:PHHC:081845



233.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.4516 of 2024
Date of decision: 02.07.2024

Lado and another Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH

Present: Mr. Sukhwinder Singh Dhillon, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Senior DAG, Punjab.

GURBIR SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. Vide order dated 16.05.2024, the coordinate Bench of this Court passed the following order:-

“The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners who claiming themselves to be living in a live-in relationship against the wishes of the private respondents.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Siddharth Attri, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3-State.

Dasti notice be served upon respondent No. 4 for the date fixed.

In addition thereto, respondent No. 3 is also directed to ensure that respondent No. 4 is present in the Court on the next date of hearing.

The petitioners are also directed to be present in Court as well.

Adjourned to 02.07.2024.

In the meanwhile, respondents No. 2 & 3 shall look into the threat perception and ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners and shall file the status report on or before the next date of hearing.”

2. Pursuant to aforesaid order dated 16.05.2024, short reply by way of affidavit of Satnam Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Sri Muktar Sahib, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, has been filed in Court today, which is taken on record. Said reply is annexed with the statements of respondent No.4 and petitioners as Annexures R-1/T, R-2/T and R-3/T. Respondent No.4 has recorded his statement (Annexure R-1/T) that his family has no objection for the live-in relationship of the petitioners and they will not interfere into their lives.

2.1 Vide order dated 16.05.2024, respondent No.4-Bhagwan Singh was also directed to remain present in Court, but there is no representation on his behalf when the matter is called.

3. At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties and he seeks to withdraw the present petition.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn.

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

July 02, 2024

sanjeev Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No