



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

Date of decision: 29.07.2024

1.

CRM-M-25469-2024

JAGDEEP SINGH

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Petitioner

...Respondent
2.

CRM-M-29813-2024

SANDEEP KUMAR

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Petitioner

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-25469-2024.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-29813-2024.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Lovish Arora, Advocate for the respondent.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1.

In both the petitions, the petitioner is seeking similar relief i.e. grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.12 dated 10.04.2024, under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of IPC, and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, registered at Police Station NRI Division Gurdaspur, therefore, both the petitions are amenable to be taken up together.
2.

Jagdeep Singh petitioner in CRM-M-25469-2024, while seeking the relief of pre-arrest bail, made a specific statement that he will deposit an amount



of Rs.1 lac with the Investigating Officer, and such deposit would be subject to the outcome of the trial. On the above assurance of the Jagdeep Singh petitioner in CRM-M-25469-2024, interim was granted, and he was directed to join the investigation, and upon joining, further direction was issued to deposit Rs.1 lac in the form of FDR, drawn in favour of the complainant. Similar relief was granted to Sandeep Kumar, petitioner in CRM-M-29813-2024, as the co-accused Jagdeep Singh petitioner in CRM-M-25469-2024, agreed to make payment of the disputed amount.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions, imparted to him by ASI Satnam Singh, submits that neither the Jagdeep Singh, petitioner in CRM-M-25469-2024, has joined the investigation, nor he has deposited the disputed amount of Rs.1 lac, as directed by this Court vide order dated 20.05.2024.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite giving specific instructions to the petitioner, he did not adhere to the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 20.05.2024. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he has no instructions, whether, the petitioner has any intention to comply with the directions, as issued by this Court (supra).

5. In view of the above, both the petitions are **dismissed**.

29.07.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No