



CWP-11663-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-11663-2024

Date of decision : 18.05.2024

Palak

... Petitioner

Versus

Baba Farid University of Health Science, Faridkot and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Inderpreet Singh, Advocate and
Mr.Navrajdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant one last chance to the petitioner to reappear in ANT and PHY examinations of MBBS Prof-1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has fairly submitted that although the petitioner has exhausted all the chances, which are available but as a mercy prayer had given a representation (Annexure P-5) to the competent authority of respondent no.1-University to grant one last opportunity to appear in the supplementary examinations of ANT and PHY examinations of MBBS Prof.-1. It is further submitted that the said representation be considered by the competent authority of respondent no.1-

University in a time bound manner and in case after considering the same,



CWP-11663-2024

2

the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case the pleas of the petitioner are not found meritorious, then the same be rejected by passing an order and the petitioner undertakes not to challenge the said order.

3. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.1 to consider the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the pleas of the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the pleas of the petitioner are not found to be meritorious, then it would be open to the competent authority of respondent no.1 to reject the same by passing an order. As undertaken by learned counsel for the petitioner in case of rejection, the petitioner would not challenge the said rejection.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 18, 2024
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No