

221

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-13631-2019

Date of Decision:- 05.02.2024

RENU DEVI

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE BANK OF INDIA

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate for respondent – Bank.

LISA GILL, J.

1. Prayer in this writ petition is for quashing letter dated 10.04.2019 (Annexure P-5) issued by respondent – Bank whereby petitioner's proposal for restructuring of home loan has been denied. There is further prayer for directing respondent to desist from taking forcible possession of petitioner's sole residential accommodation.

2. Learned counsel for respondent – Bank, on instructions from Ms.Madhu Sinha, Authorised Officer of respondent – Bank, submits that writ petition is rendered infructuous as petitioner's account has been settled and closed on 14.03.2023.

3. There is no representation on behalf of petitioner. However, in view of categorical statement made by learned counsel for respondent -Bank, we find no ground for continuation of present proceedings.

4. Writ petition is accordingly disposed of as infructuous. In case, any incorrect fact has been placed before us, petitioner is at liberty to file appropriate application within four weeks.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

05.02.2024
snd

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable: Yes/No