



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

118

CWP No.11802 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.05.2024

Kirpal Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Pepsu Road Transport Corporation and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing the action of the respondents vide which the benefit of Assured Career Progression (ACP) Scheme dated 03.11.2006 (Annexure P-2), has been withhold. Further a writ of mandamus has been sought for directing the respondents to revise the pay and pension of the petitioner after releasing the benefit of ACP increments in terms of the Instructions dated 03.11.2006 (Annexure P-2), to the petitioner along with all consequential benefits with interest @ 18% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, confines his prayer for deciding legal notice dated 22.12.2023 (Annexure P-6). He submits that at this stage, petitioner would be



satisfied, if the said legal notice is decided by passing a speaking order within a time bound frame.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Mr. Rakesh Roy, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.
- 5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
- 6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1, to decide legal notice dated 22.12.2023 (Annexure P-6), expeditiously submitted by the petitioner by passing a speaking order, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

20.05.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No