



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

296

CRM-M-25310-2024
Date of decision: September 30th, 2024

Govind Singh @ Gobind Singh Smagh and others
.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate
for Ms. Shivani, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

Mr. Narinder S. Lucky, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.172 dated 01.04.2023 under Sections 406, 420, 506, 34 of the IPC (Sections 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Sadar, District Fatehabad, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 30.04.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 20.05.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 24.05.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned trial Court, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the



CRM-M-25310-2024

-2-

factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned trial Court, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

September 30th, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No