



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

I. CRM-M-25216-2024

Sanjay @ Sanju @ SanjeevPetitioner

Vs.

State of HaryanaRespondent

II. CRM-M-25300-2024

KuldeepPetitioner

Vs.

State of HaryanaRespondent

Date of Decision.:24.05.2024

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

**Present:- Mr. Davneet Sangwan, Advocate for the
petitioner in CRM-M-25216-2024.**

**Mr. Balkar Singh, Advocate for the
petitioner in CRM-M-25300-2024.**

Mr. Ram Kumar Singla, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

In the two petitions titled above, both filed under Section 439
Cr.P.C., petitioners pray for their release on regular bail in case FIR No.131
dated 08.04.2023 under Sections 436, 506, 34 of the IPC registered at Police

CRM-M-25216-2024

2024.PHHC.074270



CRM-M-25300-2024

2024.PHHC.074272



-2-

Station Matlauda, District Panipat.

2. For petitioner Sanjay @ Sanju @ Sanjeev, this is the second petition. The earlier petition bearing No.CRM-M-44043-2023 was dismissed as withdrawn on 06.11.2023.

3. As per allegations, on 07.04.2023, two boys came on a liquor store of complainant Narender and asked for liquor bottles. They refused to pay the price. They threatened the salesman to kill him in case the bottle of liquor was not given. Later on, it is alleged that the two petitioners came to the liquor vend and set the grill of the store on fire.

4. Learned counsel contends that petitioners are in custody for the last more than one year; that neither the complainant Narender, the owner of the liquor vend, nor Naresh, the salesman of the liquor vend have supported the prosecution case. Learned counsel has drawn attention towards the testimony of both these witnesses as placed on record as Annexure P-3 and P-4.

5. Notice of motion.

6. Mr. Ram Kumar Singla, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent- State.

7. Learned State counsel could not refute any of the contentions.

8. The custody certificate placed on record would reveal that both the petitioners are in custody for the last 01 year 01 month and 05 days.

None of them is involved in any other criminal case. Material witnesses

CRM-M-25216-2024

2024.PHHC.074270



CRM-M-25300-2024

2024:PHHC:074272



-3-

have already turned hostile. No purpose shall be served by keeping the petitioners detained.

9. Having regard to the above facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case, both the petitions are allowed and petitioners are admitted to bail on their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case file.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

May 24, 2024

Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No