

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

274

CRM-M-25399-2024
Date of Decision: 15.07.2024

Vikas Yadav

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Naveen Kumar Kuhad, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
0352	26.09.2023	Faridabad, Old District Faridabad	379A r/w 34 IPC

The petitioner incarcerated upon his arrest in the FIR captioned above, has come up before this Court seeking bail under Section 439 CrPC.

2. In para 9 of the petition, the petitioner has mentioned the following criminal history:-

Sr. No.	FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
1	0287	12.07.2023	Faridabad Central, District Faridabad	379-A IPC

3. However, counsel for the State on instructions submits that except the above mentioned criminal history, petitioner is also involved in FIR No.3879/2023 registered in Police Station Chhawla Delhi, which he has not mentioned.

4. At this stage, petitioner’s counsel seeks withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to file a fresh by mentioning all criminal cases pending anywhere in India including status thereof.

5. Given above, petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of. It is clarified that power of attorney filed in the

present case shall suffice and there shall be no need to file fresh power of attorney in the fresh petition, if filed and Registry shall not raise any objection. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

15.07.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no